COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3803-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1313

Subject: Department of Corrections; Crimes and Punishment; Courts; Probation and Parole

Type: Original

Date: March 10, 2010

Bill Summary: The proposal requires the Department of Corrections to establish the

Shock Time for Felony Probationers Program to give courts an alternative to imposing a sentence for nonviolent offenders who have violated their

probation.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
General Revenue	(Unknown)	(Unknown) (Unkno	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3803-01 Bill No. HB 1313 Page 2 of 5 March 10, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3803-01 Bill No. HB 1313 Page 3 of 5 March 10, 2010

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposal would have no measurable fiscal impact the Office of Prosecution Services or county prosecutors.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state current law allows for shock incarceration when probation is revoked. The court does not have to impose a conventional sentence prior to probation which is a suspended imposition of sentence (SIS). This proposal expands shock incarceration to SIS probation cases. Passage of this bill would allow the court to send probation violators to DOC who would otherwise do "shock time" in a local jail. This proposal is for non-violent offenders and it is unknown how often the courts would use the program. DOC would not be allowed to refuse based on eligibility or bed space. Current cases to serve a shock incarceration require a completed report by parole officers submitted to a Judge for aid in their decision-making process and if this were required for these type of cases, additional FTE may be required to perform these duties.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in direct offender cost either through incarceration (FY09 average of \$16.04 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$5,855 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY09 average of \$3.71 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,354 per offender). In summary, potential fiscal impact for the DOC is unknown for each fiscal year.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this proposal for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes this is a small amount and does not expect additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the SOS can sustain with their core budget. Any additional required funding would be handled through the budget process.

BLG:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3803-01 Bill No. HB 1313 Page 4 of 5 March 10, 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(202,200)		
<u>Costs</u> – Department of Corrections Incarceration/probation costs	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation requires the Department of Corrections to establish by regulation the Shock Time for Felony Probationers Program to give courts an alternative to imposing a sentence for nonviolent offenders who have violated the terms of their probation in which no sentence has been imposed. Offenders in this program will be required to serve a shock detention of between 90 and 120 days in the department as an alternative to the court imposing a conventional sentence.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program, and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3803-01 Bill No. HB 1313 Page 5 of 5 March 10, 2010

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator Department of Corrections Office of Prosecution Services Office of the Secretary of State Office of the State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

March 10, 2010